## **DOCUMENT RESUME**

ED 297 568 FL 016 682

AUTHOR Baecher, Richard E.; Coletti, Charles D.

TITLE Two-Way Bilingual Programs: Implementation of an

Educational Innovation.

PUB DATE [86]

NOTE 20p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142) -- Journal

Articles (080)

JOURNAL CIT SABE Journal; v2 nl p42-58 Spr 1986

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Affective Behavior; \*Bilingual Education Programs;

Classroom Techniques; Educational Innovation; Elementary Education; \*English (Second Language); Limited English Speaking; \*Program Design; \*Program

Effectiveness; \*Program Implementation; Second

Language Programs; \*Spanish Speaking

IDENTIFIERS \*New York (Port Chester)

### AL J FRACT

A two-way bilingual program, in which limited-English-speaking Hispanic students and English-proficient students provide reciprocal language-learning experiences, was implemented in a Port Chester, New York elementary school. The program's features included a combined second/third grade, two classes (one limited-English-proficient and one English-proficient), a transitional language development sequence, team teaching, individualized instruction, adaptive planning, and parental involvement in training workshops and school-related affairs. First-year results of a sign test of instructional outcomes and the My Class Inventory of classroom environment are strongly supportive of the benefits of a two-way bilingual program. A strong working relationship between administrators and teachers was found to be essential to program success. (MSE)

# 

\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \* from the original document.



7899107-

Richard E. Baecher, Associate Professor School of Education, Fordham University at the Lincoln Center

> Charles D. Coletti, Principal Federal, State, and District Programs Port Chester Public Schools

> > "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

R. Baechler

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOUPCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- Diffus document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official CCRI position or policy

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

# · SABE Journal Spring 1986 Vol. 2#1

TWO-WAY BILINGUAL PROGRAMS:
IMPLEMENTATION OF AN EDUCATIONAL INNOVATION

Richard E. Baecher, Associate Professor School of Education, Fordham University at Lincoln Center

> Charles D. Coletti, Principal Federal, State, and District Programs Port Chester Public Schools

#### ABSTRACT

Two-Way Bilingual Programs are a recent initiative of New York State's Bureau of Bilingual Education. They foster bilingualism and stronger intergroup relations among limited English and English proficient students, thereby offering educational decision-makers an alternative model of reciprocal or enrichment bilingual education.

Our case study approach describes and documents the educational benefits of one Two-Way Bilingual Program located in Port Chester, New York. The first year results of its instructional and noninstructional outcomes with particular focus on critical program processes are summarized.

Two general propositions are confirmed by our positive findings: (1) "additive" bilingualism in classroom settings is feasible and a cognitive inducer, and (2) its successful implementation must consider the recent advances in school improvement research.

#### Introduction

What are Two-Way Bilingual Programs? How are they auccessfully implemented? In what ways is this program model effective? What problems are associated with Two-Way Bilingual Programs? These are some of the important questions addressed in this article.

Willig (1985), deploring the state of affairs in bilingual education research in her current synthesis of selected studies on the effectiveness of bilingual education, correctly recommends that the "only realistic approach to evaluation for school districts lies in a focus on program processes and a monitoring of those processes" (p.312). Instead of research that is inadequate in design and that makes inappropriate comparisons of children in bilingual programs to children who are dissimilar in

many crucial aspects, she advocates comparative studies on various types of program models.

One such model comes from the recent initiative of New York State's Bureau of Bilingual Education to develop and establish reciprocal second-language programs, i.e., Two-Way Bilingual Programs (Perez, 1984; Bureau of Bilingual Education, 1984; N.Y. SABE, 1985). Our aim is to call attention to the actual and potential benefits — instructional and noninatructional — of this model to administrators, bilingual and second-language teachers, curriculum specialists, and policy-makers.

A Two-Way Bilingual Program, in comparison with other program models (transitional or maintenance), represents a viable and challenging opportunity for first and second-language learning in achools whose communities are multicultural and linguistically diverse. In 1963, Stern (cited in Ovando & Collier, 1985, p.40) distinguished between "one-way" and "twoway" bilingual education. In the former, one language group is schooled bilingually; the latter refers to an integrated model in which speakers of both languages are placed together in a bilingual classroom to learn each others language and work academically in both languagea. According to Perez (1984), "a Two-Way Bilingual Education Program is one which employs two languages (one of which is English) for the purpose of instruction and involves students who are native speakers of each of those languages. Both groups of students (limited English proficient (LEP) and English proficient) would be expected to become bilingual. In a Two-Way Bilingual Education Program, the students learn curricula through their own language and through the second language, become proficient in their second language, and continue to develop skills and proficiency in their native language" (p.1).

Our approach is to describe and document the cognitive and affective results of one Two-Way Bilingual Program located in Port Chester, New York. Combined with classroom data will be central office and building-level information on the implementation processes of this program. To neglect any of these sets of processes would distort the realities of any educational innovation for, according to Huberman and Miles (1985, p.1), school improvement is a "messy, rich process full of coercion and shared struggle, indifference and heavy involvement, uncertain results and real payoffs." Viewed as an educational innovation, Two-Way Bilingual Programa are not exempt from the implications of this statement.

Two general propositions have guided our thinking in this article. Hakuta and Diaz (1985), in their analysis of the longitudinal results of the relationship between bilingualism and cognitive flexibility, claim a predictive association between degree of bilingualism and nonverbal cognitive ability P.339). Their data provide strong evidence for what Lambert (1978) has termed "additive" bilingualism — situations wherein

children learn their aecond language in addition to their first, thereby creating balanced bilingualiam. Our case study data base, derived from the first-year evaluation of Port Chester's Two-Way Bilingual Program, confirms this proposition of additive bilingualism in the case of limited English proficient pupils.

The second general proposition is related to recent sdvances in successful school implementation practices. Crandall and Loucks (1983) have identified the following "bundle" of learnings in their executive aummary of the Study of Dissemination Efforts Supporting School Improvement. They are: (1) forceful leadership contributes directly to major changes in classroom practice that become firmly incorporated into everyday routines; (2) new practices demanding significant change "live or die" by the amount and types of personal assistance they receive; and (3) concrete ateps are critical to incorporate succeasful new practices into an improved routine; otherwise, school personnel "implementing a new practice who do not attend specifically to its institutionalization may realize only ephemeral resulta" (pp.10-12). Our interpretation of the program processes data of Port Chester's Two-Way Bilingual Program (which is now in its second year of implementation) relies heavily on this bundle of learnings.

# Approach

We employed a case study approach to answer the central questions and to substantiate the general propositions of the previoua section. Yin (1984) defines the case study as an empirical inquiry that focuses on a contemporary phenomenon occurring in a real-life context, the boundaries of which are not exactly and precisely clear, and which uses multiple sources of evidence to make generalizations to similar contexts (p.23). In accord with this definition, we believe that the case study is an appropriate research strategy by which to report our findings and interpretations of Port Chester's Two-Way bilingual innovation.

Bilingual education triggera positive and negative emotions as well as ambivalent feelings among members of the American public. For example, a recent New York Times Education Survey publication (Fall, 1985) included a major section of 11 articles on bilingual education with such tantalizing titlea as: "The Controversy Over Bilingual Education in America's Schools: One Language or Two?;" "Research on Bilingual Education Inconclusive;" and "The Three Bilingual Teaching Techniques." Indeed, bilingual education and bilingualism are definitely contemporary issues being continually debated in the media and academic circles.

Grosjean (1982) summarizes the larger context in which the American brand of bilingual education has struggled for acceptance and credibility when he identifies the two aspects that have been highly controversial from its inception in the United

Statea. One concerns ita effectivenesa as a valid and reliable instructional approach. The second pertains to its function in American education: should bilingual education be compensatory and transitional, or should it maintain the minority language and culture? (p.79). Two-Way Bilingual Programa, however, go beyond this dichotomy in that they represent concrete opportunities for enriching the cognitive and linguistic skills of its participants. To date, Grosjean's conclusion about the general public's lack of understanding about the goals and positive results of bilingual education remains valid. More recently, Willig's research (1985) attempts to delineate some of the boundaries between bilingual education and its contexts when she addresses such areas as language assessment, language exposure, problems in equating groups, and language patterns in bilingual models.

Three major sources of evidence were used during the first-year evaluation of Port Chester's Program. These were, following Yin's classification (1984, pp.79-89):

Documentation: lettera, memoranda, agendas, administrative documenta; atandardized test data on acudent academic growth (required by the Bureau of Bilingual Education in its detailed guidelines, Evaluation of Two-Way Bilingual Education Programa, 1984); and student perceptions of their classroom environment.

With regard to standardized teats data, these instruments were used to yield information on atudent academic growth. Pre and post testing followed the schedule required by the Bureau of Bilingual Education. For the English proficient (EP) group, the Stanford Achievement Test (reading comprehension and total math), the Bilingual Inventory of Natural Language (oral proficiency in Spanish), and the CTBS Español (vocabulary and reading) were administered. For the limited English proficient (LEP) class, the Stanford Diagnostic Reading Test, the Bilingual Inventory of Natural Language (oral proficiency in English), and the CTBS Español (vocabulary, reading, and math) were administered. Finsl class grades were used in social studies and science.

English and Spanish forms of the My Class Inventory (MCI) were administered, scored, and analyzed at the end of the first year of the program. This checklist yielded important information on students' perceptions of their classroom environment, in particular, satisfaction, friction, competitiveness, difficulty, and cohesiveness. According to Fraser et al (1982, p.22), the MCI has alpha reliability ranging from .73 to .88, and is suitable for 8-12 year-old children. The authora of the MCI state that "each MCI scale has satisfactory reliability for use with either the individual or the class as the unit of analysis" (p.23).

 Archival Recorda: attendance records; student demograph c dsta; organizational recorda; student liats; teacher and principal concerna survey data; parental attitude towards bilingual education; and other project-related records.

Teacher concerna were identified on two occasions by Hall et al's Stages of Concern Queationnaire (SoCQ) (1979). This aurvey instrument was administered as part of the staff development component of the program. It yielded information on teacher concerns in the areas of: awareness, information, personal, management, consequences, collaboration, and refocusing. Hall et al have validated these constructs of teacher concerns about an educational innovation, and have reported internal consistency from .80 to .93.

The Bureau of Bilingual Education Parent Attitude Survey Reporting Form was administered to EP and LEP parents at the beginning and the end of the first year of the program. This aix-item scale surveys degree of attitude toward bilingual education. No reliability data have been reported on this scale.

- 3. <u>Interviews</u>: focused and open-ended interviews were an easential ingredient in monitoring the various program processes operating at the central office/building level and classroom level, respectively.
- 4. Direct Observation: this entailed attention to teacheratudent classroom interactions; administrator-teacher interactions, teacher-parent interactions, etc. evaluation reporting form was used on two occasions. It consists of a program checklist, a quality of instruction observation guide, and a lesson summary. The program checklist included information on teacher name, achool, grade level, subject, time and name of the observer. In addition, the number of teachers, educational aides, and pupils were noted on the form for the actual project as well as the lesson that was observed. Management information included the degree to which records, plans, and student records were maintained. Likewise, materials information was divided into weount, variety, and appropriateness with the same rating scale as management information. The quality of instruction scale was a low-inference device that yielded information on the following features of classroom instruction: (1) cues, or the clarity and meaningfulness of teacher explanations and directions; (2) participation, or the extent to which students actively participate or engage in the learning process: (3) reinforcement, or the extent to which students are rewarded for their learning, usually observed by counting the number of times students are praised or somehow singled out for their unique schieve-

ment; and (4) corrective feedback, or the type of testing that is carried out by the teacher whether oral, written, or practical problem-solving.

Since it would be imposaible to report all the data from theae multiple acurces of evidence in an article of this nature, the interested reader is referred to Baecher (1985) for the full report. Selected sapects of our case study data base will be presented in the section on findings.

We reasoned that a single-case design was appropriate to use in the case of Port Cheater's Two-Way Bilingual Program. Firstly, it represents a critical case in testing the proposition on the positive effects between bilingualism and cognitive performance. Secondly, it represents an opportunity to observe and analyze a phenomenon previously inaccessible to systematic investigation (Yin, 1985, pp.42-43).

## Program Setting

In this aection, we briefly describe the program setting of Port Chester's Program. Program philosophy, history, location, and population make up this part of our article.

The Port Chester School District includes four elementary schools, one middle school, and one senior high achool. Total enrollment in 1984-85 was 2,710 students. Of the four elementary achools, Thomas A. Ediaon has a 61% Hispanic enrollment, the largest concentration in the district. The majority come from Latin and South American countries. Since 1977, this proportion has increased from 33% to its current proportion of 61%, almost doubling in eight years. Edison Elementary as surrounded by modest, middle to low income, multiple family dwellings; it is located only three atreets from Port Cheater's Main Street, a downtown area that gives the appearance of renewal and accompanying business activity as one travels through the area. The remainder of the population is American-born, which includes American Blacks, Whites, and some Chinese.

According to Port Chester's original proposal (Coletti, 1984), the major thrust of the program is to realize the "long-term, developmental goal of producing two groups of Edison Public Elementary School students who are bilingual; that ia, atudents who have the ability to learn curricula through their own language and through the second language, to become proficient in the second language and to continue to acquira skills and knowledge in their nativa language."

Given this philosophical perspective towards first and second language development, whereby the learning of a second language via meaningful content area instruction enhances and enriches one's cognitive and affective development in the first language, these were the basic goals of Port Chaster's Program in 1984-85:

- to promote bilinguslism for aecond and third grade English proficient (EP) and limited English proficient (LEF) students;
- to implement a bilingual education program as an enrichment program for American-born and language minority pupils;
- 3. to foster greater understanding between two linguistic communities in the neighborhood of Edison Elementary; and
- 4. to provide equal educational access and educational excellence for this target population.

The program began in September, 1984 when the central office was notified of successful funding. This represents the first year of funding for such a state-funded program; in fact, the local site has had no previous experience with federal or stated-funded innovations, except for some Chapter I and Special Education activities. Cognizant of the changing population near Edison Elementary School and the magnitude of the educational needs of LEP students, the central office in cooperation with the building principal decided to submit a Two-Way Bilingual Education proposal to secure supplementary resources, thereby responding positively to its changing educational population.

## Program Description

The program got under way in November, 1984. Two self-contained classes were formed and a second bilingual teacher was hired. One class designated as English proficient (EP) included 10 second and nine third graders of American and Jamaican descent. The other class was designated as limited English proficient (LEP) and consisted of five second, aix third, and seven fourth graders of Hispanic origin. Due to an insufficient number of LEP pupils in the second and third grades and the recent arrival of four LEP children from South America between January and March, some fourth graders were admitted into this class. These figures are noted to exphasize the effect that such new arrivals and departures had on classroom organization and must be considered in making any final conclusions about the academic achievement of LEP pupils as a group.

This target population was succeasfully selected in November after funding approval was announced in the latter part of October. Above average reading scores on the SAT, teacher and principal judgment, and parental permission were the major criteria of selection for the EP group. Language proficiency, second language background, number of years residing in the United States, and teacher judgment were applied in the selection of LEP pupilm; also, the magnitude of the need as determined by recent arrival status and language proficiency was used in the selection of new language minority students entering Port Chester for the first time.

The LEP population had one atudent from Columbia, three from Ecuador, two from Puerto Rico, one from Bolivia, three from Uruguay, four from Guatemala, and four from Peru. The EP group had 17 American-born children and two from Jamaica. It is obvious that both groups reflect contemporary American education's multicultural classroom.

Two experienced teachers - proficient in English and Spanish - implemented the goals and instructional components of the program during 1984-85. Both teachers had over 10 years of experience in elementary education, English as a Second Language (ESL), and Spanish as a Second Language (SSL). The organizational atructure of the program included a building principal aensitive to the educational needs of Hispanic students, and a project director who maintained continual communication with the principal and teachers of the program. The project director wore many "hats," being responsible for all federal, state, and local district programs ir addition to the Two-Way Bilingual Program. A consultant in staff development and parent training and an external evaluator complemented these personnel in meeting the goals of the program.

Before the program outcomes of the first year are presented in the next section, it is necessary to identify the "core" features of this educational innovation. The following summary captures some of the critical features of project BEST (Bilingual Education for Students/Teachers), essential to its understanding and appreciation:

- 1. It is basically designed to provide two separate classes of combined 2/3 grade children with a "graduated, transitional language development sequence leading to native and second language proficiency, achievement in basic educational skills, enrichment of native language, and development of multicultural perspectives and viewpoints" (Coletti, 1984, p.5). This feature focuses on the planned change quality of the program, requiring careful monitoring.
- 2. Its organizational deaign included these elementa: "team teaching, cross-grade grouping, individualization of inatruction, and on-going, adaptive planning" (Coletti, 1984, p.5). This feature requires both teachers to plan and cover two separate curricula in the same day for a combined 2/3 grade situation (and the fourth grade for the LEP class), a challenging and complicated task, to say the least.
- 3. Staff will consist of two bilingual teachers and two bilingual teacher sides to estiafy the implementation requirements of the curriculum implied in #1 and #2 above. Given this staff, a ratio of 10 pupils per teacher is necessary to realize the goals and activities of the project. This core feature will facilitate

- 48 -

auccessful implementation of the program, especially mastery of its new teaching practices - teaching language wis meaningful content area, for example - and actual degree of practice change, i.e., major or minor changes in teachers' existing repertoire of first and aecond language teaching.

4. Parental involvement in training workshops and school-related affairs is another essential ingredient of the program. Parents of LZP and EP children need to underpend, or a continual basis, the sim of the project. EP prents, for example, need to be assured of their cuildren's academic achievement in both English and Spanish (and not at the expense of one or the other). LEP parents, likewise, want the best instruction for their children.

In summary, then, instruction and subject area delivery in two languages; ESL and SSL sequences for both groups of combined 2/3 gradera; language sharing experiences between Hispanic and American-born children on selected days during each week (Thursday and Friday, according to the program design); use of bilingual teachers and teacher aides; formal testing of academic skilla in English and Spanish in accordance with state guidelines; and parental participation constituted the core features of Port Chester's Two-Way bilingual innovation in its first year of operation.

# Program Outcomes

This section contains the first-year instructional and noninstructional outcomes of Port Chester's Two-Way Bilingual Program. Instructional outcomes address the academic growth of EP and LEP students; the noninstructional outcomes focus on student perceptions of their classroom environment, staff development growth, parental attitudes towards the project, and types of assistance engaged in by the administration. A full description of these outcomes can be found in Baecher (1985, pp.13-27). For purposes of this article, summaries of the more important outcomes are provided below. Numerical outcomes were subjected to the versatile sign test and Cochran test for related observations where appropriate (Conover, 1980). These analytical techniques were applied because of the small sample sizes (n=19 for the EP and n=18 for the LEP), their unknown distributions, and nature of the data (ordinal and nominal). Other outcom a are presented in a narrative format.

### Instructional

l. The LEP pupils made statistically significant gains in oral English proficiency, native language reading skills (Spaniah), English reading skills, and math (in Spanish). All pupils received passing grades in social studies and science.

2. The EP pupils made significant gains in Spanish language vocabulary and reading skills, respectively, and received passing grades in social atudies and acience. Five students made aignificant gains in oral Spanish language proficiency. While gains in English reading continued, they were not statistically aignificant; some significant loss in math was recorded.

Table 1 reports the results of the application of the sign test for selected instructional outcomes.

### Table 1

Results of Sign Test Application
Selected Instructional Outcomes of
Port Chester's Two-Way Bilingual Program, 1984-85

INSTRUCT ONAL OUTCOME	POSITIVE CHANGE	NEGATIVE CHANGE	NO CHANGE	P-VALUE
Oral E. Alish proficiency of L2P pupils	10	1	4	.0059 (sig)
inglish reading skills of LEP pupils	8	0	0	.0039 (sig)
Native language reading of LEP group	10	1	0	.0059 (sig)
Oral Spanish proficiency of EP pupils	5	0	14	.0312 (sig)
Spanish vocabulary and read- ing skills of EP group	- 19	0	0	.0000 (sig)
English reading skills of EP pupils	6	11	0	b .1662 (n/s)
Math skills of LEP group in Spanish	10	1	0	.0059 (sig)
Math skills of EP group	2	15	0	.0012 (sig)

Table F in Gibbona (1976, p.404). Alpha was set at .05.

n/s = not significant

# Moninstructional

1. The results of the  $\underline{\text{My Class Inventory}}$  (MCI) for the EP and LEP groups are reported in Table 2. English and Spanish forms were administered to each group.

## Table 2

Median Scores of My Clasa Inventory (MCI) for EP and LEP Classes in Port Chester's Two-Way Bilingual Program Spring, 1985

TWO-WAY BILINGUAL CLASS		MCI VARIABLE				
	SATIS- FACTION	FRICTION	COMPETITION NESS	/E- DIFFICULTY	COHESIVE- NESS	
EP	21.5	18.5	17.5	12.5	13	
LEP	23.5	12.4	15.5	12.5	16.5	

Except for the variablea of "friction" and "cohesiveneas," no aignificant differences were noted. Application of the Cochran test for related observations (Conover, 1980, p.199) resulted in significant differences between both groups in friction (p=4.16 >.05) and cohesiveness (p=3.91 >.05).

2. Both bilingual teachers extended their repertoire of teaching akills in a variety of ways. One way was successful participation in a graduate course offered by Fordham University on the development of communication skills in LEP studenta; in this course, project staff learned about recent theory and practice in second language methods, e.g., the natural approach, total physical response, etc. An easential part of this course was the development and field-testing of lesson plans that were eventually included in a collection prepared by the instructor of the course.

To keep pace with the changing concerns of both teachers about the project as an educational innovation, the Stages of Concern Questionnaire (Hall et al, 1979) was administered on two occasions and the results were interpreted in interviews with each. Two distinct, unique sets of concern profiles emerged in both instances (aeparated by four sonths). The newly-hired teacher continued to express high concerns in such areas as information, personal, and management (which is predicted by concerns theory for teachers who are taking their first steps in adopting an educational innovation). The other bilingual teacher manifeated high concerns in collaboration on both administrations, along with management. The latter area surfaced because of that teacher's valid concern for adequately implementing the project goals on the two days per week when both EP and LEP children came together to learn content area in English and Spanish.

3. Positive parental attitude towards the program was evident throughout the year. Attendance at formal workshops

- 52 -

ranged from 50% to 100%. This variability was due to various factors, e.g., inclement weather in January and February, parents who worked in the evening, etc. It must be noted that Port Cheater's Hispanic parents were very visible at the Hispanic Parents' Conference sponsored by the Bureau of Bilingual Education in the Spring of 1985. Also, the end-of-the-year banquet held at Edison Elementary School was attended by cll the parents of LEP and EP groups. The results of the Parent Attitude Survey Reporting Form showed no significant change in attitudes towards bilingual education; attitudes remained at a high level for the entire first year of the project.

4. The assistance orientation of central office and building-level administration remained high throughout the first year of the program. Borrowing aelected aspects of the categorical scheme of Huberman and Miles (1984, p.102), we identified these complementary types of assistance that the project director and principal, respectively, provided teachers in the project. The project director, through classroom visits and weekly contact with the principal, exerted reasonable pressure for implementing the original design of the program. In addition, resource adding - approving materials acquisition, funds for attendance at professional meetings, and other resources - was another function of the project director. Lastly, advocacy in the form of conveying the goals and interests of the project to the auperintendent and achool board members was evident. The principal mainly engaged in facilitation assistance through formal and informal observations of both classrooms as well as listening to the difficulties and successes of both teachers as they expanded their repertoire of teaching akills. In addition to these types of assistance, both administrators communicated strong support of the teachers' efforts. Add to this the teacher-training assistance provided by the staff development consultant and the inquiring function of the external evaluator, i.e., collecting data on numerous aspects of the project processes and feeding it back in a "formative evaluation," the amount and variety of assistance were provided to a high degree during the first year.

#### Diacusaion

Our case study findings mak a strong case for the concrete benefits of Two-Way Bilingual Programs. We discuss the implications of these results with particular attention to the questions and general propositions stated in our Introduction.

LEP pupils continued to make gains in reading, language arts, oral language proficiency, and math. No major setbacks occurred in the cognitive growth of these children. And both Spanish and English was the medium of instruction. These first-year outcomes are another confirmation of Hakuta & Dimz' (1985) and Cummina' contention (1984) that bilingualism has positive benefits on the individual. Our findings, however, take this proposition one step further: bilingual instruction,

- 53 -

offered in a setting that fosters additive bilingualism, can be effective and can austain positive impact on children from different cultural backgrounds.

EP pupils began to take their first formal steps in learning a second language, with no major obstacles to their academic growth in English. Although some slippage was evident in math, the reasons were related to the late start of the project, the hiring of a new teacher who had to internalize a new set of norma, employing two educational aides, and the complexity of teaching second and third grade curricula to a combined class. These children, nevertheless, becase fluent in s second language as evidenced by their Spanish test scores in vocabulary and reading.

Both groups perceived in a similar manner their classroom environment as very satisfactory and competitive, and moderately difficult. They differed, however, in their perceptions of "friction" and "coheaiveness," implying possible links between intergroup relations and social identity sa described in Taifel's work (1982) and in need of more research in the future.

With ragard to Crandall and Loucks' finding on school improvement afforts (1982), we have noted the leadership, types of assistance, and concrete steps being taken by the administration and teachers, respectively, in achieving mastery of this program model. One recent and outstanding example of how this group of individuals is seeking to solve a complex problem seacciated with the project - teaching content in two languages - is the de sion to teach social studies in the native language on Monday and Tuesday of each week with a focus on concepts, and then on Thursday and Friday when both groups are paired to form combined EP and LEP classes, to reinforce the same accial studies concapts with complete focus on first and second language development and linguistic akills. This feasible solution to an irritating problem that became evident at the end of the first year of the project is currently leading to unanticipated effects, for example, devalopment of materials to conduct weekly lessons in both languages, matching language proficiency level with social studies content, and tescher confidence in practice mestery.

It should be noted that most of the reaearch on effective school improvement practicas has identified training elements which assist teachers integrate naw instructional approaches into their active repertoirs (Brookover & Lezatte, 1979). One critical element - operationalized in Port Chester's Two-Way bilingual approach - is ongoing and sustained communication between central office, building, and classaroom staff. While these afforts have addressed implementation monitoring through clarifications, technical feedback and training assistance, a major emphasis has been placed on astablishing a "low threat" psychological environment for teachers.

- 54 -

In Port Cheater's Two-Way model, teacher needs for new information and skills are jointly considered with those for security and belongingness. Safe and supportive training environments for teachers confronting new programs has been recognized by change authorities (Loucks & Zigami, 1981). As teachers begin to implement an educational innovation as complex as Two-Way Bilingual Programs, they manifest management-related concerns and lack coordination with using new procedures in their initial mastery of new practices. Comforting and caring assistance through continual communication has been an important ingredient for Port Chester staff, empowering them to more active implementation of this exciting language development model.

We are expanding our case atudy data base in the second year of the project by monitoring more closely the smount of time accorded to each aubject in both languagea, parental involvement, and the classroom interactions of EP and LEP pupils during that part of the project when they are taught together. A practice profile, as described by Loucks and Crandall (1982), is being developed with the expectation that it will be useful for other Two-Way Bilingual Programs.

## Conclusion

We have described Port Chester's Two-Way Bilingusl Program in this srticle. Its first-year impact on students, parents, teschers, and administrators has been more positive than we expected. Our case study strstegy has given us more confidence in the feasibility of implementing this type of program model. We hope it will lend more credibility to bilingual education, in particular this type of program model, and hope that a similar approach has been taken within the remaining 17 Two-Way Bilingual Projects in New York State (12 in New York City; two in Buffalo and Yonkers; and two on Long Island). The opportunity to identify those specific program processes that make for succeasful implementation of bilingual education should not alip away.

One crucial element, noted by Miles in his articla on unraveling the mystery of institutionalization (1983), is becoming more evident to us each day as we act in and reflect on the Two-way Bilingual Program. It seems fitting to conclude our article by quoting him in full: "It was clear in our sites that administrators and teachers live in aeparate worlds. Administratora push, demand, support, and think about the organization; teachers react, get involved, struggle with the demands of the innovation, and think about their lives with atudents. It was very clear that an underlying variable we called teacher-adminiatrator harmony was critical for success. Working relations between administrators and teachers had to be clear and supportive enough that the reserves and streases of incorporating something new could be managed together. Thus, both teacher mastery/commitment and administrative action are critical for institutionalization and linkage between them can be achieved"

(p.19). That working relationahip is what we have discovered as participants in Port Chester's Two-Way Bilingual Program.

#### REFERENCES

- Baecher, R.E. (Aug., 1985). Final Evaluation Report of:

  Bilingual Education for Students/Teachers (Project BEST).

  Submitted to Port Cheater-Rye Union Free School District and Bureau of Bilingual Education.
- Brookover, W.B., & Lezotte, L.W. (1979). Changes in School
  Characteristics Coincident with Changes in Student Achievement. East Lansing: Michigan State University, College of
  Urban Development.
- Bureau of Bilingual Education/Bureau of School and Categorical Programs Evaluation (Sept., 1984). Evaluation of Two-Way Bilingual Education Programs. Albany, New York: New York State Education Department.
- Bureau of Bilingual Education (Fall, 1984). Two-Way Bilingual Programs planned. <u>Multilingual Multicultural</u> Newsletter, 8, #1, p.1,6.
- Coletti, C. (May, 1984). Bilingual Education for Students/Teacaers (Project BEST). Proposal submitted to Bureau of Bilingual Education, Albany, New York. Port Chester School District.
- Crandall, D.P., & Loucks, S.F. (1983). A Road Map for School
  Improvement: Executive Summary of the Study of Dissemination Efforts Supporting School Improvement. Andover, Mass.: The Network, Inc.
- Conover, W.J. (1980). <u>Practical Non-Parametric Statistics</u>. Second edition. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Cummins, J. (1984). <u>Bilingualism and Special Education: Issues</u>
  in Assessment and Pedagogy. Clevedon, England: Multilingual
  Matters, 1td.
- Fraser, B.J.; Anderson, G.J.; & Walberg, H.J. (1982). Assessment of Learning Environments: Manual for Learning Environment Inventory (LEI) and My Class Inventory (MCI). Third edition. Western Australian Institute of Technology, Bentley, W.A.
- Gibbons, J.D. (1976). <u>Non-Parametric Methods for Quantitative Analysis</u>. Columbus, Ohio: American Sciences Press, Inc.

- 56 -

- Grosjean, F. (1982).

  to Bilingualism.

  Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University
  Preas.
- Hakuta, K. (1985). Mirror of Language: The Debate on Bilingualism. New York: Basic Books.
- Hakuta, K. (Sept. 27, 1985). Research Seminar on Bilingualism and its Potential Impact on the Nation's Schools: Generalizations from Research in Second Language Acquisition and Bilingualism. Paper presented before House Education and Labor Committee. Mimeo. Yale University: Dept. of Psychology.
- Hakuta, K., & Diaz, R.M. (1985). The relationahip between degree of bilingualism and cognitive ability: A critical discussion and some new longitudinal data. In I.E. Nelson (Ed.), Children's Language, Vol. 5. Hillsdale, N.J.: L. Erlbaum.
- Hall, G.E.; George, A.; & Rutherford, W. (1979). Measuring
  Stages of Concern About the Innovation: A Manual for the
  Use of the Soc Questionnaire. Austin, TX: University of
  Texas.
- Huberman, A.M., & Miles, M.B. (1984). <u>Innovation Up Close: How School Improvement Works</u>. New York: Plenum Press.
- Lambert, W.E. (1978). Some cognitive and sociocultural consequences of being bilingual. In J.E. Alatis (Ed.), <u>International Dimensions of Bilingual Education</u>. Washington, D.C.: Georgetown University Press.
- Loucks, S.F., & Crandall, D.P. (1982). The Practice Profile:

  An All Purpose Tool for Program Communication, Staff

  Development, Evaluation and Improvement. Mimeo. Andover,

  Mass.: The Network, Inc.
- Miles, M.B. (1983). Unraveling the mystery of institutionalization. Educational Leadership, Nov., 14-19.
- New York State Association for Bilingual Education (Winter, 1985). Two-Way Bilingual Programs in New York State. Bilingual Times, p.1.
- Ovando, C.J., & Collier, V.P. (1985). Bilingual and ESL Classrooms: Teaching in Multicultural Contexts. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co.
- Perez, C. (May, 1984). Announcement of availability of state bilingual categorical funds. Memorandum addressed to superintendents with approved Part 154 Programs. Albany, New York: Bureau of Bilingual Education.



Taifel, H. (Ed.) (1932). Social Identity and Intergroup Relations. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Willig, A.C. (1985). A meta-analysis of selected studies on the effectiveness of bilingual education. Review of Educational Research, 55, no.3, 269-318.

Yin, R.K. (1984). <u>Case Study Research</u>: <u>Design and Methods</u>. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications.

LES PARENTS HAITIEN: SCOLAIRE DE L'ET

> Claudine C PTA Presiden

Pour les parenta haitiena f: Unis, le premier contact avec le un choc culturel traumatique qui questions nombreuses et cruciales

-Comment comprendre des devo: jeux et des enseignanta qui ne do:

-Comment accepter que les é droits dans les yeux et expriment

-Comment réagir quand on ne la culture eat pour eux déroutante

-Comment concevoir que les reclamer et obtenir les changement

-Même ai l'on met de coté plangue et de culture que tout ét par les parents haitiens reste brest fondamentalement différente ouvert et démocratique de la aocie

Dana le système scolaire s devoira sont nombreux et les leç On envoie ses enfants a l'écol terme, le profesaeur est maître. obéiasance sans diacusaion. Une aouvent aynonyme de quasi-catas incartade inexcuaable.

Un système scolaire, lien su la société qui l'a engendré: l'obeiaaent, ou gare! Participati. C'est l'exception combien rare parents haitiens arrivent donc aux d'humilité devant les autorités établi. Humilité et acceptation années de répression féroce. Aux américain d'un air perplexe e efficacité.

- 58 -

- 5